

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

₹			, <i>i</i>	·
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,490	06/18/2001	Michael Wayne Brown	AUS920010546US1	4144
75	90 07/22/2004		EXAM	INER
Duke W. Yee			AMSBURY,	WAYNE P
Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, LLP				
P.O. Box 802334			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Dallas, TX 75	380		2171	12
			DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004	4 1 <i>)</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•						
	Application (	Applicant(s)				
	09/884,490	BROWN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wayne Amsbury	. 2171				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	30 Ap <u>ril 2004</u> .					
,	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a  Application Papers	ndrawn from consideration.					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <a href="https://example.com/11 September 200">11 September 200</a> Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the company of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	1 is/are: a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) the drawing(s) be held in abeyon arrection is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2171

## **CLAIMS 1-34 ARE PENDING**

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. An Appeal Conference was held on 5/20/04 between Safet Metjahic, Dov Popovici, and Wayne Amsbury concerning the Appeal Brief of 4/30/04 and an Examiner's Answer to that brief. The majority view was that although one of skill in the art would concur with the correspondence between the removals of access represented by deletion of files in the art and "remove selected information" of the claims, the mapping of the rejection and consequent arguments might fail to meet the legal standard of explicitness that could be required by the Board of Appeals for confirmation. The Examiner dissents, as set forth in an Examiner's Answer, but in the interest of compact prosecution, prosecution is hereby re-opened and a more explicit rejection is proffered below.
- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 14-18, 24, 27-28 and 31-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fukuoka et al (Fukuoka), US 5,872,916, 16 February 1999.

Art Unit: 2171

Fukuoka is directed to a method of managing user environment information [COL 1 lines 7-10]. While it is considered that Fukuoka clearly anticipates at least some of the claims, the details of anticipation are mapped below:

As to **claim 1**, Fukuoka teaches receiving information from a plurality of users [FIG 1, 1B, 1C; COL 1 lines 42-46] and storing it in a user information managing database [COL 2 lines 2-6]. The system receives a request to remove selected information when a user asks the system manager to remove a user environment [COL 1 lines 42-45; COL 3 lines 1-3]. Asking for either a start or a stop clearly corresponds to a transaction, and Fukuoka points out that a user defines the information in the user database [FIG 1; COL 2 line 54 and after].

As to **claim 2**, removal occurs only when an authorization is successful [COL 2 lines 7-12].

As to **claim 3**, a password is used to determine whether or not a request for removal is valid [COL 3 lines 19-43].

As to **claim 4**, the user environment and access password are personal, and there is a user ID associated with it [COL 3 lines 28-43], and other personal information [COL 5 line 65 and after].

The elements of claims 5, 14-18, 24, 27-28 and 31-32 are rejected in the analysis above, and these claims are rejected on that basis.

Art Unit: 2171

4. Claims 6-13, 19-23, 25-26, 29-30 and 33-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fukuoka et al (Fukuoka), US 5,872,916, 16 February 1999.

Official notice is taken that it was well known at the time of the invention to use bus systems in communication devices [claims 12 and 13], the Internet as a convenient and efficient network, Web pages [claims 8-11, 21-23, 25-26, 29-30 and 33-34], browsers, credit cards [claims 6, 10, 19 and 23], and applets [claims 7 and 20]. In particular:

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to embody the system of Fukuoka comprising a bus system because it is a standard, efficient, fast, and cheap means of transmitting information within a system.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a credit card number in the system of Fukuoka because it is a convenient means of charging a bill [COL 5 line 65 and after].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to transmit information through the Internet in the system of Fukuoka as network 110 because it provides efficient and cheap access to a very large collection of nodes. It would have been further obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to transmit data by way of Web pages because the Web is a cheap and effective component of the Internet.

Art Unit: 2171

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize applets within the system because they are standard and efficient means of transmitting a process across the Web.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Amsbury whose telephone number is 703-305-3828. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 7-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**WPA** 

WAYNE AMSBURY PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER